

Designers Lighting Forum

Façade Lighting: Reducing It's Contribution to Skyglow

Ajin Cho, Craig Bernecker Parsons School of Design

March 19, 2024





Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.

This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.





Learning Objectives

At the end of this course, participants will be able to:

1. Identify different facade typologies

2. Characterize different lighting methodologies relative to facade typology

3. Recognize how lighting analysis software can be tool for assessing the sky glow generated by facade lighting

4. Compare facade lighting techniques to ascertain their respective contributions to sky glow



<u>Past</u>

Was a threshold between interior and exterior space

Present

Influences human experience, building performance and urban context

Facade Lighting

Limitation

Ý

Light Pollution

Purpose/Effect

Ŵ

Improved

Night-Time Environment

Building Facade



<u>what we have</u>

what we don't have



(left) https://www.dreamstime.com/nyc-new-york-september-skyline-night-skyscrapers-background-long-exposure-photo-shoot-image165364089 (right) https://www.darksky.org/our-work/conservation/idsp/become-a-dark-sky-place/

How does the city look?



How does the city look?



Why do we need to reduce light pollution?

1



https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/doi/10.1289/ehp.117-a20

Skyrocketing Light Pollution



NELM estimated by Globe at Night participants as a function of the night sky brightness *SBF: Sky Brightness Factor, the ratio of total radiance to natrual sky radiance *NELM: Naked Eye Limiting Magnitude, smaller NELM values means that fewer stars are visible

Christopher CMK, Yigit Öner Altıntaş, Walker CE, Newhouse M. 2023. Citizen scientists report global rapid reductions in the visibility of stars from 2011 to 2022. Science 379(6629):265-8.

How does the light pollution impact to our globe?



Light Pollution Terminology



Area to be lit

<u>2</u> How can we classify facade types?



How can we classify facade lighting types?



Field Research



Field Research



Daryl Roth Theatre

101 E 15th St, New York, NY 10003

- Historic Facade - Grazing + Flooding

Field Research



2)Illuminance Level

Potential Light Pollution from Facade Lighting



What should our globe look like? And, how do we get there?

<u>3</u>



(left) Illuminating Engineering Society. 2022. ANSI/IES RP-43-22 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE: LIGHTING EXTERIOR APPLICATIONS (right) https://www.darksky.org/our-work/conservation/idsp/become-a-dark-sky-place/

[Five Principles for Responsible Outdoor Lighting]

Illuminating Engineering Society (IES) and the International Dark-Sky Association (IDA), 2020

1. Useful — All lighting should have a clear purpose

2. Targeted — Light should be directed only where it is needed

3. Low Light Levels — Light should be no brighter than necessary

4. Controlled — Lighting should only be used when it is useful

5. Color — Warmer color lighting should be used when possible.

Existing Recommendations for Outdoor Lighting

				Lighting for Human Vision, Visibility, and Reassurance							Lighting for Responsible Design				
			Recommanded Average Maintained Illuminance Targets*								Optic Control		Controls	Spectrum	
				. Illeminances are at height of Task Surface (TS) above finished grade (AFG)									Vacancy, Second,	Acceptable Short Warslangth	
Velling Reflection Risk			Horizontal Illuminanua				Vertical Illuminance								
3	Light Level for Task m Area?		Target $E_{\rm ft} = {\rm Height} {\rm APG}$		Uniformity		Tarpet &, e: Height AFG		Switarnity		Glare, Uplight Ratings		ā Time of day	Content?	
APPLIC	ATION TASK/AREA®	Tank 17 Jama	High Mor Low	tur ir m	ife o fil	Ratio (Avg:Min)	Natte Baris	Lat \pm m	Bca Po	Ratio (Avg:Min)	Kartta Baula	Max Glare Rating (G)	Max Uplight Rating (U)	Light Dutput During Controls Reduction	(ML) (11), (MQ) (ML) (11), (MQ)
Trees, Gardens, Landscape (can be horizontal or vertical) ¹															
1.2.4				_											
Lower limit (avg.)			1 # 100	0.1.0.5.0	10		1817	(0.2 (0.73)			ଘ	UB	- 50%	951.0	
Upper limit (avg.)			10.0-0.00	10.02	11		10 0 25	(B.)(3)							
123															
Lower limit (avg.)			1 # 100	111 - 120	100		2#8	62.0TB			ୟ	UB	0110105	W.LW	
(Jpper limit (avg.)			1.0 2.00	(1.2 × 1.1)	81.		$10 \neq 15$	(2.473)							
122															
Lawer limit (avg.) Oppor limit (avg.)			11 # 100	501 o 1.M	101		188	(11 g-11)				UZ	0% to 20%	¥1,1,14	
			4.4-2.03	0.1.4 M	101		4+5	11 (11 (11))			62				
121															
Low	er limit (avg.)			US @ 203	0.05 y 2.00	30.0		封正符	曲星					12	1020
Upper limit (arg.)			1 # 2.02	02450	10.1		42.9.25	(0.4 (c) TS)			61	01		- 96-5	
120															
Low	er hinst (avg.)	-													
Upper limit(Larg.)							_								

Table A-3. Recommended Illuminance Otteria for People in Outdoor Environments

Illuminating Engineering Society of North America. 2022. ANSI/IES RP-43-22 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE: LIGHTING EXTERIOR APPLICATIONS

Existing Recommendations for Outdoor Lighting

Lighting for Human Vision, Visibility, and Reassurance Lighting for Responsible Design Recommended Average Maintained Illuminance Targets® Optic Control Controls Spectrum Illuminances are at height of Task Surface (TS) above finished grade (AFG) Acceptable Sher Vacancy, Velling Reflection Risk Horizontal Stuninance Vertical Haminton Seatonal. Havelongth Light Level for Task or Area? Target T. & Height AFG Delitareity Target En @ Height BFG Uniformity & Time of day Lietint? **Glare, Uplight Ratings** Max Light Output Tesk High Glare Max Uplight ar Not Ratio Ratio Rating Rating ering Controls (W1.13), WE APPLICATION TASK/AREA* i # F() (Avg.Min) Ratio Basis Lux # m (Fc # Ff) (Avg.Min) Ratio Basi 00.00073 (6) (U) Reduction Façades (medium reflectance materials, >0.3 and <0.6]** 1.24 Lower limit (avg.) W.L.M.H Upper fimit (avg.) 10 # TS 0 # T 1.23 Lower limit lavg.) 0% to 19% MLL.N. Upper limit (avg.) 1.7.2 Lower limit (avg.) MUL M Upper limit (avg.) 121 Lower limit (avg.) in to Jon M.C. 4 # 75 84 # 75 Upper fimit Lang-3 1.20 Lower limit (avg.) Upper limit (avg.)

Table A-3. Recommended illuminance Criteria for People in Outdoor Environments

Illuminating Engineering Society of North America. 2022. ANSI/IES RP-43-22 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE: LIGHTING EXTERIOR APPLICATIONS

Existing Study on Skyglow

MACRO



Light Pollution Map (https://www.lightpollutionmap.info/)



Astronomers Educators

Monitoring the night sky quality for regulating lights for needed area

MICRO



BUG Rating System (ANSI/IES TM-15-20, Technical Memorandum: Luminare Classification System)

Manufacturers Lighting Designers

Careful selection of luminaires for appropriate brightness and distribution

Thesis Statement

: As there is no method to quantify the adverse effects of facade lighting,

lighting designers should assess direct upward light and reflected light during the design process to reduce facade lighting's contribution to skyglow. This study proposes a new methodology that utilizes the existing widespread photometric calculation tool in the lighting design industry.



Proposed

Methodology

Assumed Scenario

Clear Sky
Fixed Reflectance Values
model surface
-ground
-adjacent building surface
Fixed LED Light Source
Fixed Light Loss Factor (LLF)
Fixed Color Temperature (CCT)

Variable Parameters

1. Lumen Output 2. Upward Light Ratio (ULR) -beam angle -tilted angle





ANALYSIS











SCENARIO #2 UPWARD LIGHT RATIO L01: L50-I-12-8W-27-OUTPUT-MULT-9x9















CONCLUSION





0% UPWARD LIGHT RATIO DOES NOT INDICATE AN ABSENCE OF LIGHT POLLUTION

FURTHER STUDY

1.Solid Facades

2.Historic Facades

3. Transparent Facades







FURTHER STUDY



 $H_{0} = 0.5$

Upward Light Ratio (ULR
beam angle
tilted angle

15' Road 35' Sidewall 15 R.= 0.06 R.= 0. $H_0 = 0.5$



This concludes The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems Course

